

PCT

REC'D 2 8 DEC 2004

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

pplicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION Prelimina		
nternational application No.	International filing date (day/month/year) 06.10.2003	Priority date (day/month/year) 10.10.2002	
nternational Patent Classification (IPC)	or both national classification and IPC		
Applicant MONSANTO EUROPE S.A. et a	al		
This international preliminary Authority and is transmitted to	examination report has been prepared by the other applicant according to Article 36.	is International Preliminary Examining	
2. This REPORT consists of a	total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.		
This report is also according to the series of the series	e the basis for this report analysis lection 607 of the Administrative Instructions	escription, claims and/or drawings which have alining rectifications made before this Authority under the PCT).	
1			
3. This report contains indicat	ions relating to the following items:		
⊠ Basis of the opi	nion		
⊠ Basis of the opi	nion	ve step and industrial applicability	
⊠ Basis of the opi □ Priority □ Non-establishm	nion nent of opinion with regard to novelty, inventi		
I ⊠ Basis of the opi	nion nent of opinion with regard to novelty, inventi	ve step and industrial applicability ovelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
I ⊠ Basis of the oping the priority III □ Priority III □ Non-establishm IV □ Lack of unity of the priority V ⊠ Reasoned state citations and e	nion nent of opinion with regard to novelty, inventi i invention ement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to n xplanations supporting such statement tents cited	ovelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
⊠ Basis of the opi	nion nent of opinion with regard to novelty, inventi i invention ement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to n xplanations supporting such statement ents cited s.in-the international application	ovelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
⊠ Basis of the opi	nion nent of opinion with regard to novelty, inventi i invention ement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to n xplanations supporting such statement tents cited	ovelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
⊠ Basis of the oping the priority	nent of opinion with regard to novelty, invention ement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to not explanations supporting such statement ents cited s-in-the international application vations on the international application	ovelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
I ⊠ Basis of the opting II □ Priority III □ Non-establishm IV □ Lack of unity of V ⊠ Reasoned state citations and e VI □ Certain docum - VII □ Certain defects VIII □ Certain observing	nent of opinion with regard to novelty, invention ement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to not explanations supporting such statement ents cited s-in-the international application vations on the international application	ovelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
⊠ Basis of the opt	nent of opinion with regard to novelty, invention ement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty and to not explanations supporting such statement ents cited solutions on the international application vations on the international application. Date of comestional application and application with the international application and application are comesticated as a support of the international application and application are comesticated as a support of the international application and application are compared to the international application are compared to the internatio	ovelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;	
I ⊠ Basis of the opin II ☐ Priority III ☐ Non-establishm IV ☐ Lack of unity of V ☒ Reasoned state citations and e VI ☐ Certain docum - VII ☐ Certain defect VIII ☐ Certain observing Certain Ob	nent of opinion with regard to novelty, inventication ement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to not explanations supporting such statement ents cited solutions on the international application vations on the international application Date of com 28.12.200 International Authorized filter - P.B. 5818 Patentiaan 2 ik - Pays Bas Roldán, Communication in the international application international Authorized Roldán, Communicational Ro	ovelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; oletion of this report Officer	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/11018

1.	Basi	s of	the	report

1. With regard to the elements of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)): **Description, Pages** as originally filed 1-13 Claims, Numbers 1-18 as originally filed **Drawings, Sheets** as originally filed 1/15-15/15 2. With regard to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)). the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)). the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3). 3. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing: contained in the international application in written form. filed together with the international application in computer readable form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form. furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form. The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished. The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished. 4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

the description,

the claims,

the drawings,

pages:

Nos.:

sheets:

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/11018

5. 🏻	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).
	Deeu cousideled to do peacing the disclosure as used (units to profess).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

- 6. Additional observations, if necessary:
- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

2-17

No: Claims

No:

1,18

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

2-11 1,12-18

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-18

No: Claims

- 2. Citations and explanations
 - see separate sheet

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following document: US 4 437 587 (DUERING WALTER) 20 March 1984.

1. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Document US4437587 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A dispenser comprising a container (1) for holding a product to be dispensed and a dispensing mechanism (5,6), characterized in that the container is connected to the dispensing mechanism by way of a siphon (2,3,4).

- 2. The combination of the features of dependent claim 2 is neither known from, nor rendered obvious by, the available prior art. The reasons are as follows: none of the documents cited in the search report disclose or suggest the features present on said claim 2.
- 2.1 Claims 3-11 are dependent on claim 2 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.
- 3. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 12 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT. The document D2 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claim 12, and discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document):

A dispenser comprising a container body (1) having a bottom (11), walls (10) and opposite side (2,3), wherein said container is connected by said opposite side to the external chamber (4) of a coaxial siphon, and the internal chamber (6) bears a sprayer (18, see fig. 8).

The subject-matter of claim 12 therefore differs from this known dispenser in that the sprayer is a <u>trigger</u> sprayer. Said sprayer is merely one of several straightforward possibilities (i.e. kinds of sprayers) from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill, in order to solve

the problem posed of improving spraying.

- 4. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 13-15 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 4.1 The device resulting from the combination above (point 3) would also have the features of claim 13, since when attaching the trigger sprayer, the dip tube has to be introduced through the internal chamber of the siphon (see Figs 1 and 9 in US 4 437 587), whereby claim 13 also lacks an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 4.2 The subject-matter of claim 14 consists in the selection of an angle from a possible range. Such a selection can only be regarded as inventive, if the angle presents unexpected effects or properties in relation to the rest of the range. However, no such effects or properties are indicated in the application, indeed the disclosure in page 13, paragraph 2 does not clearly show which problem is overcome. Hence, no inventive step is present in the subject-matter of claim 14.
- 4.3 The feature "coaxial siphon shaped as handgrip" of claim 15 is already known from US4437587 (see Fig. 1 in said document), whereby claim 15 also lacks an inventive step.
- 5. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 16 and 17 does not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT. The features "precompression system" and "label" are merely one of several straightforward possibilities from which the skilled person would select, in accordance with circumstances, without the exercise of inventive skill, in order to solve the problem posed of delivering the content of the bottle and labelling the same.
- 6. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 18 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. The dispenser in US 4 437 587 also includes an opening for refilling (by removal of cap 5) in its side opposite to said bottom (i.e its top).
- 7. Use as dispending device.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY